

# LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

**530** HLS 13RS Fiscal Note On: HR 160

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd .:

Sub. Bill For.:

**Date:** April 18, 2013 2:21 PM **Author: GEYMANN** 

Dept./Agy.:

**Analyst:** Travis McIlwain **Subject:** Contingent Appropriations

**BUDGETARY CONTROLS** OR SEE FISC NOTE GF RV (Constitutional Amendment) Provides relative to the budget process

Page 1 of 2

Proposed constitutional amendment provides that no contingent appropriations shall be made. Proposed constitutional amendment provides that unless otherwise provided in the constitution the amount appropriated out of any fund shall not exceed the official forecast of money available for appropriation from that fund. Proposed constitutional amendment specifies that the REC must designate as recurring or nonrecurring money to be received by each fund and provides that the REC must use the definition of nonrecurring as it appears in law to designate revenues as recurring or nonrecurring. Proposed constitutional amendment provides that the governor's executive budget shall be in accordance with the official forecast as adopted by the REC.

EXPENDITURES	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW					
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	5 -YEAR TOTAL
<b>REVENUES</b> State Gen. Fd.	<b>2013-14</b> SEE BELOW	<b>2014-15</b> SEE BELOW	<b>2015-16</b> SEE BELOW	<b>2016-17</b> SEE BELOW	<b>2017-18</b> SEE BELOW	5 -YEAR TOTAL
						5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW					
State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW \$0	\$0				
State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other	SEE BELOW \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0				

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. To the extent the legislation ultimately results in the REC estimating monies from all dedicated funds, there may be a significant increase in workload for the Office of Planning and Budget and the Legislative Fiscal Office as there are over 400 statutorily dedicated funds. Economists in these offices currently present a forecast for the state general fund and only a small number of dedicated funds. The extent of the additional workload will depend on the forecasting/projecting methods employed.

The proposed bill provides for a constitutional amendment to be submitted to voters at the November 4, 2014 election. The November 4, 2014 election is a statewide election, when all precincts in the state are scheduled to be opened. As a regular practice, the Secretary of State budgets for up to 10 constitutional amendments for the fall statewide elections.

#### **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

This bill would require the REC to include within its state general fund revenue forecast all resources that support the executive budget/general appropriations bill (not already included within the current forecast) and determine if such resources are either recurring or nonrecurring.

For **illustrative purposes**, if this constitutional amendment had been in place during the building of the current year budget (FY 13), the REC would have likely considered the following items that are currently supporting the FY 13 budget in its SGF discussions: \$58.6 million - Rescinded Nonrecurring & recurring SGF capital outlay projects (Act 23); \$96.8 million - Act 597 resources, which include: \$2.8 million from the LA Tourism District, \$56 million from the Self Insurance Fund, \$10 million from the sale of NOAH, \$7 million from Mortgage Settlement receipts, \$11 million from the LA Housing Corporation, \$20 million from the Morial Convention Center, \$44 million from various fund sweeps, \$47.3 million from AWP legal settlements, \$3.9 million from self-generated revenues from the Department of Revenue, \$110.4 million from Go Zone Debt repayments; \$13.1 million from the LA Land Trust revenues (utilized in FY 13 to support LA-4); \$15.2 million from adopted TOPS constitutional amendment. Note: The proposed bill provides for the fund balances being utilized to support the budget to be certified by the state treasury. (See Page 2)

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	John D. Capater
□ 13.5.1 >= \$	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8	$\mathbb{R}H$ $\mathbb{G}$ 6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S}	Joseph Company of the
□ 13 5 2 <b>&gt;</b> = ¢	5500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	$\Box$ 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	John D. Carpenter
13.3.4 /- \$	5300,000 Allilual Tax of Fee	$\square$ 0.0(G) $\nearrow$ = \$300,000 Tax of Fee filtrease	Logislative Fiscal Officer

Change {S&H}

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}



## **LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: HB **530** HLS 13RS 160

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

**Date:** April 18, 2013 2:21 PM **Author: GEYMANN** 

Dept./Agy.:

**Analyst:** Travis McIlwain **Subject:** Contingent Appropriations

#### **CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:**

Page 2 of 2

In addition to requiring the REC to include in its forecast all money that supports appropriations, this bill also specifies that no contingent appropriations shall be made and that the governor's executive budget shall be in accordance with the official forecast adopted by the REC. Thus, there could likely be fewer resources available for operating expenditures unless such resources have been considered by the REC and designated as recurring.

Senate 13.5.1 >= \$100	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u> 0.000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H	House	John D. Capater
13.5.2 >= \$500	),000 Annual Tax or Fee nge {S&H}	$\Box 6.8(G) >= $500,000 \text{ Tax or Fee Increase}$ or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	John D. Carpenter Legislative Fiscal Officer